

Environment and Climate Regional Accession Network (ECRAN)

Workshop Report National Capacity Building on the Integrated Risk Assessment Method IRAM/easy Tools

23-24 March 2016, Sarajevo



# **ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE REGIONAL NETWORK FOR ACCESSION - ECRAN**

## **WORKSHOP REPORT**

### Activity 1.2.3

# NATIONAL CAPACITY BUILDING ON THE INTEGRATED RISK ASSESSMENT METHOD (IRAM)/EASY TOOLS

23 – 24 March, 2016, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina





LIST OF AB	LIST OF ABREVIATIONS				
EU	European Union				
CLP	Classification, Labelling and Packaging				
EMAS	Eco-Management and Audit Scheme				
IC	Impact Criteria				
IED	Industrial Emissions Directive				
IPPC	Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control				
IRAM	Integrated Risk Assessment Method				
OPC	Operator Performance Criteria				
RMCEI	Recommendation Minimum Criteria for Environmental Inspections				
REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restrictions of Chemicals				
IMPEL	The European Union Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environmental Law				
TFS	Trans frontier Shipment of Waste				





# **Table of Contents**

١.	Background/Rationale	5
١١.	Objectives of the training	7
	General objective	7
	Specific objectives	7
	Target group	7
	Expected results	7
111	. EU policy and legislation covered by the training	8
IV	. Highlights from the training workshop	10
	Day 1 – Hotel Bosnia, Sarajevo, 23 March	10
	Day 2 – Hotel Bosnia, Sarajevo, 24 March	18
V.	Evaluation	22
AI	NNEX I – Agenda	25
AI	NEX II – Participants	28
A	NEX III – Presentations (under separate cover)	31





#### I. Background/Rationale

Within the RENA programme, the objective of the ECENA Working Group on Environmental Compliance and Enforcement was to improve the ability of RENA member countries to implement and enforce the EU environmental and climate acquis by increasing the effectiveness of inspecting bodies and promoting compliance with environmental requirements.

The activities for the period 2010-2013 were based on a Multi Annual Work Plan, covering the following areas:

- Training and exchange;
- Institutional and methodological development;
- Cross border enforcement.

The activities planned under ECRAN in this area will build on the results achieved under RENA. Since the work of inspectors and permit writers has to be more coordinated and connected to other activities within the environmental protection area, it has been decided that ECENA under ECRAN should be of cross cutting nature. This is particularly important as the work of ECENA is dealing with both implementation and enforcement of the EU acquis. Cooperation with policy makers and law drafters has to be strengthened in order to enable developing better implementable legislation.

The work plan covers the full period of ECRAN (i.e. October 2013 – October 2016). Under this ECENA work plan, the following specific activities have been decided to be implemented:

1.2.1 Capacity building on compliance with environmental legislation;

- 1.2.2 External country assessments;
- 1.2.3 Methodological development application of IRAM/easy Tools;
- 1.2.4 Compliance with REACH/CLP Regulations;
- 1.2.5 Trans frontier Shipment of Waste (TFS);
- 1.2.6 Inspection and enforcement in other policy areas;
- 1.2.7 Inspector's participation in networking activities.

The beneficiaries are the Ministries of Environment of the beneficiary countries (Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Kosovo<sup>\*1</sup>, Montenegro, Serbia and Turkey). In addition the other ministries and other bodies and institutions will need to be actively engaged in so far as their work is relevant for the scope of ECRAN.

The overall objective of ECRAN is to strengthen regional cooperation between the EU candidate countries and potential candidates in the fields of environment and climate action and to assist them on their way towards the transposition and implementation of the EU environmental and climate policies, political targets and instruments which is a key precondition for EU accession.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with UNSCR 1244 and the ICJ opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.





# Activity1.2.1 Capacity building on the Integrated Risk Assessment Method (IRAM)/easy Tools

Within IMPEL various tools have been developed for inspection purposes. Pursuant to the Recommendation providing for minimum criteria for environmental inspections (RMCEI), the Industrial

Emission Directive (IED) and the Directive on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances (SEVESO) all inspections should be planned in advance. The competent authority must draw up inspection plans and programs for installations and establishments, including the frequency of site visits. These frequencies should be based on a systematic risk appraisal.

Under the name 'easy Tools' a project team, led by Germany, collected information on the risk assessments that are used across Europe. Based on this information a new rule based methodology was developed and tested, called Integrated Risk Assessment Method (IRAM).

The methodology is based on the following principles:

- 1. The inspection frequency is determined by value of the highest score;
- 2. The inspection frequency is reduced by one step, if the set minimum number of highest scores (called "the Rule") is not met;
- 3. The inspection frequency can be changed by only one step up or down based on operator performance;
- 4. The higher the sum of scores, the longer the inspection time.

Besides the methodology the project also developed a new web based tool (IRAM tool) that can be accessed by the IMPEL website (www.impel.eu). To disseminate this useful methodology, up to 2 regional trainings have been organised for all ECRAN beneficiary countries at a general level. The first regional training course has been given in Ankara on 15-16 October 2014. The second regional workshop has been organised in Zagreb on 6-7 October 2015. At request also national trainings can be organised. The first national training has been organised in Kosovo\* on 12- 13 November 2015. The second national training was held in Belgrade on 14 – 15 January, 2016. The present workshop is the third national training in the series.

Chapter 2 describes the background and objectives of activity 1.2.3 with the 3rd National Workshop Capacity Building on the Integrated Risk Assessment Method (IRAM)/easy Tools.

Chapter 3 describes the EU policy and legislation covered by the training;

Chapter 4 presents the workshop proceedings and Chapter 5 presents the evaluation. Furthermore the following Annexes are attached:

- \_ Annex I: the agenda;
- \_ Annex II: List of participants;
- \_ Annex III: Power point presentations (downloadable under separate cover):

http://www.ecranetwork.org/ECENA







#### II. Objectives of the training

#### **General objective**

Increasing the effectiveness of inspection bodies and promoting compliance with environmental requirements

#### Specific objectives

Increased capacity in SEE in the field of planning of inspections with specific reference to the use of the IRAM/Easy Tools methodology.

#### Target group

The target institutions and beneficiaries are the environmental inspectors and permit writers of the Ministries of Environment in Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Kosovo\*, Montenegro, Serbia and Turkey

#### Expected results

The following result are expected for this activity

- improved functioning of environmental inspection and enforcement organizations;
- streamlined working methods and implementation of best practice in the region moving towards EU standards.







#### III. EU policy and legislation covered by the training

The training covered mainly the RMCEI, IED Directive and SEVESO Directive, concentrating on the inspection planning requirements.

#### RMCEI (http://ec.europa.eu/environment/legal/law/inspections.htm)

In 2001, recognising that there was a wide disparity between inspection systems in the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council adopted Recommendation 2001/331/EC providing for minimum criteria for environmental inspections in the Member States (RMCEI).

The RMCEI contains non-binding criteria for the planning, carrying out, following up and reporting on environmental inspections. Its objective is to strengthen compliance with EU environment law and to contribute to its more consistent implementation and enforcement in all Member States.

The content of the RMCEI has strongly influenced provisions on environmental inspections in sectoral pieces of environment and climate change legislation. The European Union Network for the Implementation and Enforcement of Environment Law (IMPEL) played an important role in the preparation of the RMCEI and through its activities has also played an important role in its implementation.

#### IED Ref $1.^2$

The Industrial Emission Directive (2010/75/EU), which came into force in January 2011, contains binding requirements for environmental inspections. An essential part of article 23 of the IED is the assessment of environmental risks. "The period between two site visits shall be based on a systematic appraisal of the environmental risks of the installations concerned and shall not exceed 1 year for installations posing the highest risks and 3 years for installations posing the lowest risks."

The systematic appraisal of the environmental risks shall be based on at least the following criteria:

- a) the potential and actual impacts of the installations concerned on human health and the environment taking into account the levels and types of emissions, the sensitivity of the local environment and the risk of accidents;
- b) the record of compliance with permit conditions;
- c) participation in the Union eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS).

#### SEVESO (ref 2)<sup>3</sup>

In article 20.3 of the SEVESO III Directive (2012/18/EU) it is stated that member States shall ensure that all establishments are covered by an inspection plan at national, regional or local level and shall ensure that this plan is regularly reviewed and, where appropriate, updated.

Each inspection plan shall include the following:

- (a)a general assessment of relevant safety issues;
- (b) the geographical area covered by the inspection plan;

<sup>3</sup> REF 2): SEVESO http://ec.europa.eu/environment/seveso/







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> REF 1) IED: http://europa.eu/legislation\_summaries/environment/soil\_protection/ev0027\_en.htm

- (c) a list of the establishments covered by the plan;
- (d) a list of groups of establishments with possible domino effects pursuant to Article 9;
- (e) a list of establishments where particular external risks or hazard sources could increase the risk or consequences of a major accident;
- (f) procedures for routine inspections, including the programmes for such inspections pursuant to paragraph 4;
- (g) procedures for non-routine inspections pursuant to paragraph 6;
- (h) provisions on the co-operation between different inspection authorities.

Based on the inspection plans referred to in paragraph 3, the competent authority shall regularly draw up programmes for routine inspections for all establishments including the frequency of site visits for different types of establishments. The period between two consecutive site visits shall not exceed one year for upper-tier establishments and three years for lower- tier establishments, unless the competent authority has drawn up an inspection programme based on a systematic appraisal of majoraccident hazards of the establishments concerned. The systematic appraisal of the hazards of the establishments concerned shall be based on at least the following criteria:

- the potential impacts of the establishments concerned on human health and the environment;
- the record of compliance with the requirements of this Directive.







#### IV. Highlights from the training workshop

Reference is made to Annex I for the agenda and Annex III for the presentations.

#### Day 1 – Hotel Bosnia, Sarajevo, 23 March

- 1. A welcome was given by Mr. Ike van der Putte (ECRAN ECENA Coordinator) with an introduction on ECRAN and the ECENA programme. Out of the eight beneficiary countries within ECRAN, a number of six countries have already implemented or are planning to implement IRAM in their inspection management system. This is not only important in harmonising the systems of inspection management, but also important in the exchange of experience between the countries within ECRAN and also those within the EU that in majority is applying the system.
- 2. The workshop was chaired by Mr. Horst Buether (IMPEL expert IRAM/Easy Tools) and Mr. Ike van der Putte (ECRAN ECENA coordinator). Other trainers and IRAM/Easy tools experts, Mr. Vladimir Kaiser and Mr. Florin Homorean were introduced.
- 3. An introductory round was held among the participants with the question on the years of experience as inspectors, permit writers and policymakers/other fields. The results showed that most of the participants have knowledge and experience in inspection. A number of 4 participants have some (basic) experience with IRAM, 24 have no experience at all whereas 2 of participants have heard about the system.

	Years of experience			
	1 – 5 years	5 – 10 years	More than 10	
			years	
Inspectors	5	3	7	
Permit writers	3	3	3	
Policy makers/others		1	1	

- 4. Why Risk Assessment in Inspection Planning. Mr. Vladimir Kaiser, Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia for Agriculture and the Environment started with a presentation on the logical reason for risk assessment in order to be able to prioritize and on the legal requirements for risk assessment. Recognizing that there was a need for planning inspection work in the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council adopted several pieces of legislation:
  - Recommendation 2001/331/EC providing for minimum criteria for environmental inspections in the Member States (RMCEI)
  - Directive 2012/18/EU on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances (SEVESO)
  - Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) (IED)

Risk assessment is an essential element in the planning of inspections to be carried out.

The presentation was finalised by describing the difference between inspection plans versus inspection programmes. An inspection plan is a more abstract document comparing to a programme. (IED Article 23(2) and 23(3)). The Programme is part of the inspection plan and tells the inspector when, where





and what he or she should be doing. In practice this is often a table with the names of the installations, the name(s) of the inspector(s), the type of inspection, the date or time frame (week or month) the type and additional information needed to execute inspections. It is a kind of schedule for inspector work.

- 5. *Risk Assessment (RA) Methods used in Europe.* Mr. Florin Homorean, National Environmental Guard, Romania, presented an overview of the risk assessment methods used in Europe. The overview was made within the framework of the IMPEL Easy Tools project. Three general types of methods for RA were identified:
  - Linear Mean Value: mean values or sums of all (weighted) criteria scores are assigned to risk categories and inspection frequencies (Spain, Cologne-DE);
  - Mean Value of Risk: mean values of impact criteria multiplied by probability criteria are assigned to risk categories (OPRA – EN, NL, PO, PT);
  - Maximum Value: inspection task with highest frequency determine inspection frequency (France).

The advantages and disadvantages of these methods were described. Based on the results of the project the "Integrated Risk Assessment Method" = IRAM, has been developed by combining the advantages of the three methods, while limiting the disadvantages

6. *Development of IRAM.* Mr. Horst Buether continued the presentation on the development of IRAM. Starting from a historical overview and the defined inspection cycle elements, the Easy Tools project was initiated to develop a web based risk assessment tool for inspections like those required for IPPC (IED), Seveso, waste, waste water, genetic engineering, agriculture and so on.



The first 4 steps of the Environmental Inspection Cycle form the Planning Cycle. The output of the Planning Cycle is the inspection plan. In order to write the inspection plan the inspecting authority first





This Project is funded by the European Union



has to identify the relevant activities that should be covered by the inspection plan and gather information on these activities. With this information the inspecting authority can perform an assessment of the risks of the identified activities and assign priorities to these activities with the help of IRAM.

In the developed method the risk of an installation is considered as a function of the (actual and potential) impact and of the operator performance.



The various Impact and Operator performance criteria could refer to:

#### **Potential impacts**

- Kind and type of installation
- Risk of accidents
- Handling and storage of waste

#### **Actual impacts**

- Levels and types of emissions: air, water, soil
- Sensitivity of the local environment
- Incidents and accidents

#### **Operator performance**

- Compliance with permit conditions
- Attitude of the operator
- Environmental management system (EMAS)

The methodology is able to lead to an Impact steered inspection frequency

- negligible
- no routine inspection
- minor
- every 5 years
   every 4 years
- moderaterelevant

serious

- every 3 years
- important
  - every 2 yearsevery year



This Project is funded by the European Union



Other essential elements are the scoring for impacts, weighting and IRAM principles and rules.

#### **IRAM principles/rules**

- The inspection frequency is determined by the highest impact score
- The inspection frequency is reduced by one step, if the set number of highest scores is not met (the Rule)
- The inspection frequency can be changed by one step up or down based on operator performance
- The more criteria are scored high, the more inspection effort is needed

The IRAM rules were implemented into a web based programme for risk assessment in inspection planning

The programme distinguishes between:

Coordinator ---▶ decides on inspection task, criteria, and steering terms and factors Inspector -----▶ does the risk assessment

Assessment data storage in the internet

The assessment data can also be downloaded as XML- or CSV-files and imported into national data bases (Access and Excel)

Address of the programme:

https://www.fms.nrw.de/lip/authenticate.do

A special guidance book for IRAM/Easy tools can be found at

http://impel.eu/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/easyTools\_-Guidance-Book\_-2012-06-2.pdf

An introductory was given on the web-application, which was further elaborated in the following presentations.





This Project is funded by the European Union



Utilisation of IRAM	easy1001
IRAM is (considered to be) used by Inspection Authorities of	
Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Italy, The Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Turkey and RENA member countries.	

7. *How to register.* The IMPEL experts gave an introduction with instructions on how to register into IRAM. A number of 7 steps are needed. It was noted that IRAM has been translated in various languages.

<ol> <li>Open https://ww</li> <li>Press Register</li> </ol>	w.fms.nrw.d	e/lip/authenticate.do	
	Jister Jister	Logon Plase enter yo. ut pase	
Int	egrated Risk Assess	Engish. [Download Gue	

8. *Exercises*: risk assessment with the web application. The participants could individually, or in smaller groups, do the exercises guided by the Mr. Horst Buether, Mr. Vladimir Kaiser and Mr. Florin Homorean. All elements were covered from registration in the web app, logon, change of passwords and assignment to a coordinator and assignment to an inspector, creation of risk assessment forms, copying risk assessment forms from other coordinators and doing the risk assessments with their created risk assessment forms.







0	easyTools	
1	👸 Ergén 🔻	
	Logion Rease enter your logon data of user-Id and password. user-Id:	
Register Reat password	password	
Integrated Risk Assess	[Cownload the description of the tools for the Integrated Risk Assessment (Method)	IMPEL

The basis of the exercises on day 1 and day 2 were 3 examples in RA in which descriptions of the companies are given with the various impacts:

- Risk assessment of an IED installation with IRAM web app Aluminium factory producing aluminium.
- Risk assessment of a SEVESO establishment with IRAM web app Chemical plant producing fertilizers.
- Risk assessment of a non IED installation with the IRAM web app Textile factory producing threads and technical textiles.

#### 9. Experiences in Bosnia and Herzegovina in the creation of risk based inspection programs.

A brief description of the inspection system in Bosnia and Herzegovina and its development were given respectively by Ms. Alma Keres, Federal inspector for environmental protection, Federal Directorate of Inspection affairs and Mr. Dragan Nikolić, Republic inspector for environment in the Republic Inspectorate.









As explained by Ms. Alma Keres, the Federal Inspection Administration is a relatively "young" body formed in late 2006, with the official start of operation from 01.01.2007. The Federal Inspection Administration consists of 16 organizational units of which 11 Inspectorates are covering different areas of inspection. The task of remaining 5 sectors is to provide comprehensive support for Inspections. The legislative basis and the organizational structures were described with the number of installations to be controlled.





This Project is funded by the European Union



An annual inspection plan is being elaborated by the Chief inspector and approved by the Federal Ministry. Such plans are based on the RMCEI, with setting priorities for risk assessments and frequency of inspections based on identification of major pollutants and high risk installations (IPPC/SEVESO).

Inspections are carried out for each environmental media but also as integrated inspections. Routine inspections according to the annual plan cover 80% of the inspections whereas the remaining (20%) are non-routine inspections.

E-inspection software has been developed and used since 2010. This software allows each inspection to be executed electronically using laptops corresponding with a central database. Since 2012 E-inspection is also used by the Cantonal inspections.



The inspection system in the Republika Srpska as explained by Mr. Dragan Nikolić is based on the RMCEI with 13 inspectors at the republic level, including environmental inspectors, 19 environmental inspectors at the municipal level, whereas 4 environmental inspectors are active at a regional level. The inspection supervises over 1,500 heavy polluters and a large number of small polluters (oil stocks and petroleum products, wood processing industry, power facilities, tanneries, objects of mining and metallurgy, processing of minerals, 100 concrete plants and 25 asphalt plants, food industry, textile industry, chemical industry. In addition control covers some entities that manage waste and special waste for which reference was made to the Waste Management Law: harvesters, processors, incinerators, transboundary movement of waste, 60 legal and a large number of illegal waste dumps.

The architecture of the Inspection Management System was described and the methodology for inspections with the electronic tools. An overview was given on the inspection results and the trends in the period 2008 – 2013.





#### Enviroment and Climate ECRAN Regional Accession Network



10. In the open discussion on the IRAM methodology, specific attention was paid on the usefulness of IRAM in priority setting. As presently in the Federation 16 inspections per month are to be carried out, not much time is left for other issues. Priority setting is therefore essential. An important question is also on how to integrate IRAM in the present existing IT systems in the country.

#### Day 2 – Hotel Bosnia, 24 March





1. In opening the second day of the training Mr. Ike van der Putte gave an overview of the of the subjects presented on day 1. It was discussed why it is important that Serbia will take op the IRAM methodology. In Serbia there are at present 44 Upper Tier and 50 Lower Tier SEVESO installations, and 200 IPPC installations (120 industrial installations and 80 farms). As mentioned by Ms. Olivera Topalov (Head of Department Ministry of Agriculture and Environmental Protection) it is important that Serbia has a system which is up to date and in line with the latest developments, also considering the complexity and the various environmental inspection units. Harmonisation of



This Project is funded by the European Union



systems with the EU was also mentioned in the discussion. For Serbia the following practical steps are now to be taken:

- Appointment of one or more coordinators;
- Informing Mr. Horst Buether on these coordinator(s);
- The coordinator(s) can have access via the web application to the system and take care of the development of risk assessment forms with the risk criteria and the inspectors using these forms.
- 2. Practical exercises. Day 2 was fully allocated to the continuation of the practical exercises.

Attention was paid on how to be a coordinator, with instructions, exercises and the creation of templates with risk criteria for the country. Here it should be noted that as a coordinator you can create the templates for inspectors in the IRAM system.

An explanation was given on how to use the templates by national inspection authorities.

The participants were divided into 6 groups, with each group defining risk criteria for a non IPPC company. For this purpose it is of importance to consider the data that are available.

Drawing up risk assessment forms and scoring for IED inspections, SEVESO Inspections and other inspection tasks received specific attention.

The IRAM coordinator is nominated by the inspection authority.

The IRAM coordinator puts the inspectors under his coordination in the user administration of the tool. The IRAM coordinator can create, copy/modify or delete forms for the inspection tasks of his administration. The IRAM coordinator is responsible for the choice of criteria, the graduation of scores and the setting of steering values.

He/she is responsible for validation of risk assessments forms filled in by its inspectors (has the right to modify the scores of RC)

The IRAM coordinator can create an Inspection programme by ranking of Template fulfilled by inspectors under his coordination; the ranking could be downloaded in MSExcel format.

- 3. Development of a risk assessment form. Mr. Vladimir Kaiser in his presentation explained the differentiation between Impact Criteria (IC) and Operator Performance Criteria (OPC). Besides the risk assessment method itself (like IRAM) choosing the right set of risk criteria is essential for achieving good risk assessment results. In development of risk assessment forms it is to be emphasized that there are obligatory criteria according to RMCEI (environmental risks), SEVESO (the potential impacts on human health and the environment and the record of compliance with the requirements of this Directive). Obligatory criteria according to IED are:
  - levels and types of emissions (water, air, soil, noise, etc.);
  - the sensitivity of the local environment;
  - the risk of accidents;
  - the record of compliance with permit conditions;
  - the participation of the operator in the Union eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS).









4. *Minimum requirements IED –inspections.* As an example of minimum requirements Mr. Horst Buether gave a presentation on those required for IED inspections.









#### Enviroment and Climate ECRAN Regional Accession Network



5. Closure. In the closing session Mr. Ike van der Putte and Mr. Horst Buether thanked the presenters for their contribution and the participants for their active participation in the course and especially in the case studies. Interest within Bosnia and Herzegovina to implement the IRAM system has clearly been expressed. The difficulty is to integrate the IRAM system into the present IT system. A request was made by Bosnia and Herzegovina (national ECENA coordinator Ms. Suada Numic) to assist via TAIEX missions on this issue. Specifically attention is to be paid to the IT systems used in the Federation (Sarajevo) and in Banja Luka (Republica Srpska). Finally Ms. Suada Numic thanked the trainers and ECRAN for their contribution.







This Project is funded by the European Union



#### V. Evaluation

The following summary of the training evaluation report, developed on the basis of analysis of the training questionnaires can be given. A number of 23 participants filled in the evaluation form. It shows that the expectations of the workshop were met.

The trainees indicated that the training was of a high quality and fit for its purpose. The excellent preparation (hand-outs) and knowledge of the trainers were appreciated.

#### Statistical information

1.1	Workshop Session	ECRAN National capacity building on the Integrated Risk Assessment Method (IRAM)/easy Tools 23-24 March 2016, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina
1.2	Facilitators name	As per agenda
1.3	Name and Surname of Participants (evaluators) optional	As per participants' list

#### Your Expectations

Please indicate to what extent specific expectations were met, or not met:

My Expectations	My expectations were met			
	Fully	Partially	Not at all	
<ol> <li>Gained knowledge for improving the functioning of environmental inspection and enforcement organizations</li> </ol>	 (87%)	III (13%)		
<ol> <li>Gained knowledge of streamlined working methods and implementation of best practice in the region moving towards EU standards</li> </ol>		ll (8%)		







#### Workshop and Presentation

Please rate the following statements in respect of this training module:

As	pect of Workshop	Excellent	Good	Averag e	Accepta ble	Poor	Unaccept able
1.	The workshop achieved the objectives set	         (91%)	ll (9%)				
2.	The quality of the workshop was of a high standard	    (74%)	 (26%)				
3.	The content of the workshop was well suited to my level of understanding and experience	 (70%)	 (30%)				
4.	The practical work was relevant and informative	     (78%)	 (22%)				
5.	The workshop was interactive	    (74%)	 (22%)		I (4%)		
6.	Facilitators were well prepared and knowledgeable on the subject matter	       (87%)	III (13%)				
7.	The duration of this workshop was neither too long nor too short	 (65%)	l (30%)		l (4%)		
8.	The logistical arrangements (venue, refreshments, equipment) were satisfactory	 (47%)	 (13%)	 (17%)	ll (9%)	III (13%)	
9.	Attending this workshop was time well spent	     (78%)	 (22%)				



This Project is funded by the European Union



A project implemented by Human Dynamics Consortium

Page Z C



#### Comments and suggestions

I have the following comment and/or suggestions in addition to questions already answered:

#### Workshop Sessions:

- Well organized and good time management

#### Facilitators:

- Excellent
- Presentation and explanation in local language appreciated (Vladimir Kaiser)

#### Workshop level and content:

- Good explanations
- Interesting lectures







#### ANNEX I – Agenda

# Day 1 : Wednesday, 23 March 2016

#### Topic: Application of IRAM/Easy Tools

#### Chair and Co-Chairs: Ike van der Putte/Horst Buether

Venue: Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Start	Finish	Торіс	Speaker	Sub topic/Content			
08:30	09:00	Registration					
08:00	09:00	Opening	Representative from the Ministry of Environment and Tourism Mr. Ike van der Putte, ECRAN ECENA Coordinator Mr Horst Buether	Welcome remarks Explanation of background, objectives and expected results of the workshop			
			IMPEL, TAIEX expert				
09:00	09:15	Introduction round	Mr. Ike van der Putte, ECRAN ECENA Coordinator	Introduction of the participants and experts			
09:15	09:45	Why risk assessment in inspection planning?	Mr. Vladimir Kaiser, Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia for Agriculture and the Environment, TAIEX Expert 2	Method : PPP and Q&A Materials provided: Doing the right things guidance book			
09:45	10:15	Risk assessment methods used in Europe	Mr. Florin Homorean, National Environmental Guard, Romania, TAIEX Expert 3	Method : PPP and Q&A Materials provided: Results of questionnaire			







10:15	10:45	Development of IRAM	Mr Horst Buether IMPEL, TAIEX expert 1	Method : PPP and Q&A Materials provided: easyTools guidance book	
10:45	11:00	Coffee Break			
11:00	12:00	The IRAM web app	Mr Horst Buether	Method : PPP and Q&A Materials provided: easyTools guidance book	
12:00	12:30	Preparation of the exercise	Mr Horst Buether Mr. Vladimir Kaiser, Mr. Florin Homorean	Method: Work with computer and internet Registration in the web app Log on Change of password Assignment to a coordinator	
12:30	13:30	Lunch Break			
13:30	14:30	Exercise: risk assessment with the web app	Mr.Vladimir Kaiser Mr. Florin Homorean Mr. Horst Buether	<ul> <li>Method: Work with computer and internet</li> <li>Assessment of example installations</li> <li>Assessment of real installations from the inspectors</li> </ul>	
14:30	15:00	Discussion of Results	Mr.Vladimir Kaiser Mr. Florin Homorean Mr. Horst Buether	How to use the flexibility of IRAM there are problems to get the neede data for assessment or if nearly a assessment end up in mainly one ris category	
15:00	15:15	Coffee Break			
15:15	16:15	Case study from Bosnia and Herzegovina	Representative from the Ministry of Environment and Tourism	How Inspection planning and execution is applied in Bosnia and Herzegovina Method: PPP and Q&A	
16:15	16:45	Open discussion	Mr. Ike van der Putte and Mr. Horst Buether	On lesson learned from this and previous trainings, This session is proposed to offer the opportunity for detailed questions and discussions.	
16:45	17:00	Closure			







# Day 2 : Thursday, 24 March 2016

Topic: Application of IRAM/Easy Tools						
Chair and Co-Chairs: Ike van der Putte/Horst Buether						
Venue: Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina						
Start	Finish	Торіс	Speaker	Sub topic/Content		
08:30	09:00	Registration and we	elcome coffee	_		
09:00	09:30	Word of welcome and briefing	Host country representative			
09:30	10:00	Summary and questions from first day	Mr. Ike van der Putte, Mr Horst Buether	Introduction of the participants and experts		
10:00	10:30	How to be an IRAM coordinator	Mr Horst Buether	Practical suggestions		
10:30	10:45	Coffee Break	_	_		
10:45	12:30	Drawing up risk assessment forms for Serbia with the IRAM web app	Mr Horst Buether Mr. Vladimir Kaiser, Mr. Florin Homorean	<ul> <li>Drawing up risk assessment forms</li> <li>For IED inspections</li> <li>For Seveso inspections</li> <li>For other inspection tasks</li> </ul>		
12:30	13:30	Lunch Break				
13:30	15:00	Exercise: coordinator tasks and drawing up risk assessment forms exercise	Mr.Vladimir Kaiser Mr. Florin Homorean Mr. Horst Buether	<ul> <li>Method: Work with computer and internet</li> <li>Drawing up example forms</li> <li>Drawing up forms for real inspection tasks</li> </ul>		
15:00	15:15	Coffee Break	-			
15:15	16:00	How to use the web app inspection programme	Mr Horst Buether	Method: PPP and Q&A Materials provided: easyTools guidance book extension		
16:00	16:30	Feedback	Led by Mr. Horst Buether	Open discussion		
16:30	17:00	Evaluation and Closure				





Page 27

### **ANNEX II – Participants**

Name	Surname	Institution	Country	Email
Aida	Filipovic Hadziomeragic	Institute of Public Health of Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina	Bosnia and Herzegovina	a.filipovic@zzjzfbih.ba
Alma	Kereš	Federal Inspection Administration	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Alma.Keres@fuzip.gov.ba
Daniela	Aničić	Municipality Modriča	Bosnia and Herzegovina	anicic.daniela@yahoo.com
Dijana	Vasic	Ministry of Foregn Trade and Economic Relations	Bosnia and Herzegovina	dijana.vasic@mvteo.gov.ba
Dragan	Mijović	Republic of Srpska Inspectorate	Bosnia and Herzegovina	d.mijovic@inspektorat.vladar s.net
Dragan	Nikolić	Republic of Srpska Inspectorate	Bosnia and Herzegovina	d.nikolic@inspektorat.vladar s.net
Dragan	Šafradin	Ministry of Spatial Planning, reconstruction and Return - Travnik	Bosnia and Herzegovina	dragansafradin@yahoo.com
Fetah	Muhić	Federal ministry of environment and tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	fetah.muhic@fmoit.gov.ba
Goran	Mišić	Ministry of Spatial Planning and Environmental Protection of Tuzla canton	Bosnia and Herzegovina	goran.misic@gmail.com
Hazim	Zuka	Cantonal Directon for Inspection Affairs	Bosnia and Herzegovina	hzuka010@gmail.com
Ibro	Kulin	Federal Inspection Administration	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Ibro.Kulin@fuzip.gov.ba
Jasmina	Comic	CETEOR		jcomic@ceteor.ba
Lejla	Kapetanović	Federal ministry of environment and tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	<u>kapetanovic.lejlaa@gmail.co</u> <u>m</u>
Ljuba	Tadić	Federal Inspection Administration	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Ljuba.Tadic@fuzip.gov.ba
Maida	Bešlagić	Federal Inspection Administration	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Maida.Beslagic@fuzip.gov.ba
Marinko	Mostarac	Ministry of Construction and Spatial Planning	Bosnia and Herzegovina	marinko.mostarac@tel.net.ba
Mirjana	Kovač	Federal ministry of Environment and Tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	mirjana.kovac@fmoit.gov.ba
Mirsad	Ibreljić	Federal ministry of Environment and Tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	mirsadib@yahoo.com





 ${}^{\rm Page}28$ 

#### Enviroment and Climate ECRAN Regional Accession Network

Name	Surname	Institution	Country	Email
Mladen	Rudež	Expert Adviser	Bosnia and Herzegovina	m.rudez@bih.net.ba
Mladjen	Lakic	Inspectorate of Republika Srpska	Bosnia and Herzegovina	m.lakic@inspektorat.vladars. net
Muamer	Hajdarević	ΚυΙΡ ΤΚ	Bosnia and Herzegovina	<u>muamer.hajdarevic@gmail.co</u> <u>m</u>
Nikola	Koprena	Republic of Srpska- Municipality Laktaši	Bosnia and Herzegovina	nikola.koprena@laktasi.net
Omer	Čaušević	Federal Inspection Administration	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Omer.Causevic@fuzip.gov.b a
Ratko	Đorđić	Republic of Srpska Inspectorate	Bosnia and Herzegovina	ratkodj09@gmail.com
Sabina	Sijarić	Federal ministry of Environment and Tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	sabina.sijaric@fmoit.gov.ba
Selma	Crnovršanin	Federal ministry of Environment and Tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	crnovrsanin.selmaa@gmail.c om
Slavko	Kuzmanović	City of Banja Luka Inspectorate	Bosnia and Herzegovina	slavko.kuzmanovic@banjaluk a.rs.ba
Snježana	Đurić	Municipality Gradiška	Bosnia and Herzegovina	<u>snjezana.djuric@opstina-</u> gradiska.com
Suada	Numic	Federal ministry of environment and tourism	Bosnia and Herzegovina	suada.numic@fmoit.gov.ba
Tatjana	Nišić	Republika Srpska	Bosnia and Herzegovina	tatjana.nisic@banjaluka.rs.ba
Vesna	llic	Ministry of Physical Planning, Construction and Environmental Protection of Canton Sarajevo	Bosnia and Herzegovina	vesna.ilic@mpz.ks.gov.ba
Zejnil	Trešnjo	Ministry of Construction, Spatial Planning and Environmental Protection - Mostar	Bosnia and Herzegovina	zejnil@bih.net.ba
Horst	Buether	NRW Regional Government	Germany	<u>horst.buether@bezreg-</u> koeln.nrw.de
Florin	Homorean	National Environmental Guard	Romania	cjolt@gnm.ro
Vladimir	Kaiser	Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia for	Slovenia	Vladimir.Kaiser@gov.si





 $_{\text{Page}}29$ 



Name	Surname	Institution	Country	Email
		Agriculture and the Environment		
Ike	van der Putte	ECRAN	Netherlands	ike.van.der.putte@rps.nl







#### **ANNEX III – Presentations (under separate cover)**

#### Presentations can be downloaded from:

http://www.ecranetwork.org/Files/Workshop Presentations IRAM National March 2016 Sarajevo .zip





