

Mitigation measures
Case study analysis - AA within EIA
Energy infrastructure example:
Gas pipeline Bosiljevo – Sisak , Croatia

Neven Trenc, mr. sc. geo.

Ankara, April 25 - 26, 2016



This Project is funded by the European Union



Project implemented by Human Dynamics Consortium

Mitigation measures

- Implementation is obligatory by decision
- Most common measures
 - restricted season for implementation
 - little changes in the technology manner in which work is implemented
 - definition of the areas of the site or i.e. habitat types which should be avoided



This Project is funded by the European Union



Project implemented by Human Dynamics Consortium

Mitigation measures - pipeline

- no material deposition within the sites during construction
- River Mrežnica crossing by microtunneling if possible instead of ditch digging
- Period of year with no activity in regards to spawning and nesting on the site (sum of the specific periods for birds and species)
- checking for otter before works have begun
- carrying out of the works over river Maja in dry period and with care not to damage substrate with shells
- Water should not be taken from Mrežnica for pumping tests of the pipeline



This Project is funded by the European Union



Project implemented by Human Dynamics Consortium

Mitigation - strengths/weaknesses

- Strengths:
 - Win–win situation: project is implemented and nature protected
 - Possibility for creative solutions for specific cases – no general mitigation measure - small specific things make difference
- Weaknesses:
 - Difficult to monitor the effectiveness
 - May be good on paper but make no difference in reality
 - General measures like „minimize“ avoid if possible - sometimes are necessary but not very reliable



This Project is funded by the European Union



Project implemented by Human Dynamics Consortium